	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/687,559	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tim Phan	3729
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non Final Action</u>		
(1) Robert D. Traver.	(3) <u>Thiem D. Ph</u>	<u>an</u> .
(2) <u>Carl J. Arbes</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 November 2004	Time: <u>Moming</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: Claim 14		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicants agree to delete the language "according to claim 1" (Cf. Claim 14, line 2) in order to clarify the claimed invention.  Appropriate correction is required.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·	·	
Cal & Oules	en e	
(Examine SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		